



# YPS Vendor/Partner Evaluation Form

An evaluation of partnerships throughout the District

Evaluator Name: Julius Figueroa

Role: Director of Grants (Formerly Assistant Principal)

General Information			
Grant Name	<u>Obama P-TECH (GHV)</u>	Contract Amount	<u>\$21,000</u>
Partner/Partner	<u>Groundwork Hudson Valley</u>	Date of Service(s)	<u>7/1/23 - 6/30/24</u>
School Site(s)	<u>Barack Obama School for Social Justice</u>		

1. Were there three quotes for vendor services?
- YES (if yes, please list vendors below)
  - NO (if no, please explain)
  - N/A

Explain: GHV was written into this grant when initially proposed to NYSED as one of the community-based external business partners.

2. In what ways does this vendor/partnership align to our Districts mission/vision/strategic plan?
- GHV is one of the two P-TECH business partners for Obama, specifically for the Environmental Studies pathway. This vendor aligns with strategic goal #5 - community engagement, as GHV offers countless programs and opportunities for YPS students and other community members, with a focus on environmental justice and sustainability.

3. What was the primary goal of the partnership? (Fill the option(s) that most closely relates to the main objective of the partnership.)
- To provide PD to a certain population of the schools.
  - To provide programmatic support to the schools.
  - To provide tutoring or instructional support.
  - To help to assess current practices.
  - To connect the schools with other resources.
  - To help to develop curriculum and activities for the district, school or classroom.
  - To support mental and emotional health
  - Other: \_\_\_\_\_

4. Were the specified goal(s) and objectives reached?
- YES
  - NO (if no, please explain)
  - N/A

Explain: \_\_\_\_\_

5. Did this partner deliver on the expected agreement and outcomes?
- YES
  - NO (if no, please explain)

Explain: \_\_\_\_\_

6. Did this partner supply appropriate materials and supplies necessary to accomplish goals and outcomes?

- YES
- NO (if no, please explain)
- N/A

Explain: \_\_\_\_\_

7. Did this partner provide adequate feedback and support?

- YES
- NO (if no, please explain)
- N/A

Explain: \_\_\_\_\_

8. Please complete the Vendor/Partner Assessment Criteria scale below and rank this partner on the following areas:

Vendor/Partner Assessment Criteria					
Criterion	(1) Ineffective	(2) Somewhat Ineffective	(3) Somewhat Effective	(4) Effective	(5) Highly Effective
<b>Preparation:</b> <i>Provides high-quality services to meet goals</i>					✓
<b>Competency:</b> <i>Knowledge of craft</i>					✓
<b>Flexibility:</b> <i>Willingness to change or compromise</i>					✓
<b>Consistency:</b> <i>Schedule &amp; routine</i>					✓
<b>Organization:</b> <i>Structured, orderly, &amp; efficient use of time management</i>					✓
<b>Professionalism:</b> <i>Interactions are cordial &amp; respectful</i>					✓
<b>Overall Experience with partner</b>					✓

9. Please indicate specifically how the vendor/partner impacted student achievement, leadership development or district operations. **Note: Please provide documentation and evidence of impact of vendor/partner services.**

Members of this organization sat on the Obama P-TECH Steering Committee in its planning year, providing invaluable guidance and suggestions for opportunities for prospective P-TECH students to engage with topics relevant to Environmental Studies. Throughout the year, #HV hosted free trips to the Science Barge for all Obama middle school students and they also facilitated a program focused on a rainwater collection project with Obama seniors. Additionally, #HV provided guidance surrounding the purchase and (hopefully soon) assembly of a greenhouse to be installed on the front lawn of the school building as a visual symbol of the school's commitment to environmental sustainability.

10. Use the space below to provide any additional feedback you think would be helpful:

---



---




---



---

Signature of Evaluator



Date:

9/18/24

\*\*\*\*\* FOR PEER REVIEW ONLY \*\*\*\*\*


Vendor/Partner Peer Review Criteria					
Criterion	(1) Ineffective	(2) Somewhat Ineffective	(3) Somewhat Effective	(4) Effective	(5) Highly Effective
Impact: Based on artifacts/evidence/ evaluation				X	
Overall Experience with partner				X	

Peer Reviewer

R. Jordan

Date:

Signature of Peer Reviewer



Date:

10/1/24