

REQUEST FOR NON-COMPETITIVE CONTRACT - FOR BOCS APPROVAL ON: January 15, 2025

This form must be completed by Department Heads or their designee when requesting contracts where a determination has been made that seeking competition would not be in the best interest of the taxpayers. Completed form must be attached to all resolutions and requisitions. Check off each box that applies and provide the required detailed reasons in the JUSTIFICATION section.

VENDOR INFORMATION

Vendor Legal Business Name: Capstone Publishers

Vendor Address: 1710 Roe Crest Drive, North Mankato, MN 56003

Vendor Main Point of Contact: Vicky Sweet

Title: Account Supervisor Phone: 914.316.0083 Email: vicky@mlassociatesny.com

Detailed Description of Service and Contract Term: Annual on-going monthly books to grow campus collections

Total Cost and Method of Compensation: \$ 40,580.56

EXCEPTIONS PER SECTIONS 6 (D) AND 6 (Q) OF THE CITY'S PROCUREMENT POLICY
Contracts with medical or health-related entities.
Contracts with lecturers, other educational professionals or experts, and institutions.
Procurements which involve the expenditure of federal or state assistance where and to the extent that federal or state law, rules, regulations conflict with the provisions of the procurement policy.
Contracts with not for profit organizations for the support, enhancement, or preservation of cultural resources and the arts.
Other contracts deemed to be in the best interests of the District; however, any such request for this exemption shall be made by submission of a written recommendation, including sufficient justification by the department head certifying that such exemption is necessary and appropriate in order to further the best interests of the District.
SOLE SOURCE: Only one vendor is capable of providing the service. SINGLE SOURCE: The service is available from more than one source, but for particular reasons it must be procured from a specific vendor.
☐ Single Source: Upgrade to existing software available only from the software developer who sells on a direct basis.
☐ Single Source: Upgrade to existing software available only from the software developer's designated Value Added Reseller for this territory.
X Single Source: Continuation of an on-going service already procured from this vendor. Provide the reasons why it would not be prudent to procure these services from another vendor.
☐ Single / Sole Source: Sole provider of a patented or licensed service.
☐ Single / Sole Source: The requesting Department can demonstrate that such services, due to unique or special circumstances, cannot be procured through a competitive Request for Proposal process.

REQUIRED JUSTIFICATION: Provide detailed reasons why it is in the best interest of the taxpayers to contract with this vendor without competition. List other vendors and quotes that were obtained during the process.

Capstone eBooks provides titles including Spanish language books to increase students selection of fiction and nonfiction titles towards strengthening reading and outcomes.

APPROVAL: I certify that to the best of my knowledge the information above is a complete and accurate justification for the competitive procurement of these services.

Department / Department Head Name: Librar	1 Jervices Dr. Cynthia Y. Palmer
Signature: Walner	Date: 12/20/24

An evaluation of partnerships throughout the District

Evaluator Name: D	r. Cynthia Y. Palmer	Role: Direct	tor, Library Services
	G	eneral Information	
Grant Name		Contract Amount	
Partner/Partner	Capstone	Date of Service(s)	January 2025-January 2026
School Site(s)	K6/K8 campuses		
YES (if you NO (if no N/A Explain. No, rene	ee quotes for vendor services, please list vendors beloo, please explain) wal of services, increases this vendor/partnersh	ow)	vision/strategic plan?
Provides nonliction and it	ction tilles with diverse characters	s for our students including Spanish langua	ge books.
main objective of To provi To provi To provi To help to To help to	of the partnership.) de PD to a certain popular de programmatic support de tutoring or instruction to assess current practices ect the schools with other	to the schools. al support. s. resources. d activities for the district, schoo	
● YES	ied goal(s) and objectives o, please explain)	reached?	
Explain: Specific goals	to expand collections within K6 ar	nd K8 campuses were reached.	
YES	deliver on the expected a	agreement and outcomes?	
Explain: Yes, the exped	cted agreement is reached toward	Is planned outcomes.	

6.	Did this partner supply appropriate materials and supplies necessary to accomplish goals and
	outcomes?
	(YES
	NO (if no, please explain)
	○ N/A
Exp	olain: Yes, appropriate materials are provided to accomplish goals and outcomes.
7.	Did this partner provide adequate feedback and support?
	() YES
	NO (if no, please explain)
	ŎN/A
	vos adoquato foodback and support are provided
Exp	yes, adequate feedback and support are provided.

8. Please complete the Vendor/Partner Assessment Criteria scale below and rank this partner on the following areas:

	Vend	dor/Partner Asse	essment Criteria		
Criterion	(1) Ineffective	(2) Somewhat Ineffective	(3) Somewhat Effective	(4) Effective	(5) Highly Effective
Preparation:					X
Provides high-quality					
services to meet goals					
Competency: Knowledge of craft					X
Flexibility: Willingness to change or compromise				Х	
Consistency: Schedule & routine					X
Organization: Structured, orderly, & efficient use of time management					X
Professionalism: Interactions are cordial & respectful					х
Overall Experience with partner					Х

Please indicate specifically how the vendor/partner impacted student achievement, leadership
development or district operations. Note: Please provide documentation and evidence of impact of
vendor/partner services.

. Use the space below	to provide any	additional feed	back you think v	vould be helpful	:
		7			
				,	
nature of Evaluator _	- Mal	nul		Date: 12/2	0/24
nature of Evaluator _	****	* FOR PEER REVI	IEW ONLY ****	k	0/24
nature of Evaluator _	****	or/Partner Peer	EW ONLY **** Review Criteria	k	/ /
nature of Evaluator _	****		IEW ONLY ****	k	(5) Highly Effective
Criterion Impact:	**** Vend (1)	or/Partner Peer (2) Somewhat	Review Criteria (3) Somewhat	(4)	(5) Highly
Criterion Impact: Based on	**** Vend (1)	or/Partner Peer (2) Somewhat	Review Criteria (3) Somewhat	(4)	(5) Highly
Criterion Impact:	**** Vend (1)	or/Partner Peer (2) Somewhat	Review Criteria (3) Somewhat	(4)	(5) Highly
Criterion Impact: Based on artifacts/evidence/	**** Vend (1)	or/Partner Peer (2) Somewhat	Review Criteria (3) Somewhat	(4)	(5) Highly